PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

| From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHO | ORITY | | REC'D 24 AUG 2005 | |
|---|---|-------------------------------------|--|--|
| То: | | Mg. | WIPOPCT PCT | |
| see form PCT/ISA/220 | | INTERNATIO | TEN OPINION OF THE NAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1) | |
| | | Date of mailing (day/month/year) se | ee form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet) | |
| Applicant's or agent's file reference see form PCT/ISA/220 | | FOR FURTHER See paragraph 2 bel | | |
| International application No. PCT/EP2005/001168 | International filing date (c 04.02.2005 | day/month/year) | Priority date (day/month/year) 05.02.2004 | |
| International Patent Classification (IPC) or C12N15/11, C12Q1/68 | both national classification | and IPC | | |
| Applicant NOVARTIS AG | | | | |
| 1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. I Basls of the opinion | | | | |
| 3. For further details, see notes to | Form PCT/ISA/220. | | • | |
| | | | · | |

Name and mailing address of the ISA:

Authorized Officer

<u>)</u>

European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

Sprinks, M

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-7706



| _ | | | |
|----------------------|------------|-------------|---|
| - | Bo | × N | o. I Basis of the opinion |
| 1. | Wit the | h re lan | egard to the language , this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in guage in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item. |
| | | ıaı | nis opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following nguage , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search nder Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)). |
| 2. | Wit | h re ess | egard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and eary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of: |
| a. type of material: | | | |
| | (| | a sequence listing |
| | I | | table(s) related to the sequence listing |
| | b. fo | orm | at of material: |
| | [| | in written format |
| | [| | in computer readable form |
| | c. ti | me | of filing/furnishing: |
| | ι | 3 | contained in the international application as filed. |
| | [|) | filed together with the international application in computer readable form. |
| | C | | furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search. |
| 3. | | CO | addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto s been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional pies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as propriate, were furnished. |
| 4, | Add | itio | nal comments: |
| | | | |
| _ | Box | No | o. II Priority |
| 1. | Ø | rec | e validity of the priority claim has not been considered because the International Searching Authority es not have in its possession a copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed or, where pured, a translation of that earlier application. This opinion has nevertheless been established on the sumption that the relevant date (Rules 43bis.1 and 64.1) is the claimed priority date. |
| 2. | | nas | is opinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim been found invalid (Rules 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international graded date indicated above is considered to be the relevant date. |
| 3. | Add | itior | nal observations, if necessary: |

| Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability | | | |
|---|---|----------------|--|
| The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of: | | | |
| | the entire international application, | | |
| \boxtimes | claims Nos. 11,12,14,15 (partially) | | |
| because: | | | |
| | the said international application, or the said claims Nos. relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify): | | |
| | the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify): | | |
| | the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed. | | |
| × | no international search report has been established for the whole application or for said claims Nos. 11,12,14,15 (partially) | | |
| | the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing does not comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions in that: | | |
| | the written form | | has not been furnished |
| | | | does not comply with the standard |
| | the computer readable form | | has not been furnished |
| | | | does not comply with the standard |
| | the tables related to the nucleot not comply with the technical re | ide a quire | and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, do ements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions. |
| | See separate sheet for further of | letail | ls . |

International application No. PCT/EP2005/001168

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

1-18

No: Claims

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

ms 1-18

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-18

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/EP2005/001168

D1: HACKERMÜLLER J. ET AL.,: "towards a functional classification of ARE protein interactions" GENOME INFORMATICS, vol. 13, 2002, pages 326-327, XP002299091

V) Novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability

Inventive step

- The present application does not satisfy the criterion set forth in Article 33 (3) PCT because the subject-matter of claims 1-18 does not involve an inventive step (Rule 65.1 and 65.2 PCT).
- 2) D1 discloses the use of thermodynamic modelling to study the mechanisms of ARE-binding protein interactions. It specifically refers to <u>ELAVL1</u> (see claims 2 and 13), the importance of understanding <u>interference of other AREs with ARE-protein interactions for the selection of drug targets</u>, and ARE binding <u>ELAVL1</u> analogues.
 - Consequently, the claimed methods and compounds are considered as the straightforward reduction to practice and results of the concepts taught in D1, which result from the combination of the skilled person's desire to modulate any known regulatory ARE-ligand interaction (which may be considered as the technical problem) with the teachings of D1.
- 3) It should be noted that the methods of claims 5 and 6 do not appear to be restricted to the general concept in claim 1 of calculating and using thermodynamic probabilities, since the phrase "that changes the thermodynamic probability" appears to refer to an implicit property of an oligonucleotide whose suitability as a modulator would be obvious in any event at the hybridisation step alone.

In such a case, said methods might not be considered novel or even unitary as they could refer to screening assays using known standards (which intrinsically change the thermodynamic probability in any event). However, for the time being, the Applicant is given the benefit of doubt.

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

| from the NTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHO | DRITY | | REC'D 24 AUG ZING |
|--|---|---|---|
| To: | | W. | WIPOPCT PCT |
| see form PCT/ISA/220 | | INTERNATI | ITTEN OPINION OF THE IONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1) |
| | | Date of mailing (day/month/year) | see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet) |
| Applicant's or agent's file reference see form PCT/ISA/220 | | FOR FURTHE See paragraph 2 | |
| International application No. PCT/EP2005/001168 | International filing date (c | day/month/year) | Priority date (day/month/year) 05.02.2004 |
| International Patent Classification (IPC) or t C12N15/11, C12Q1/68 | both national classification | and IPC | |
| Applicant NOVARTIS AG | | | |
| □ Box No. IV Lack of unity or □ Box No. V Reasoned state applicability; cl □ Box No. VI Certain docum | nent of opinion with reg f invention tement under Rule 43 <i>bi</i> tations and explanation tents cited s in the international ap | ard to novelty, investing and to novelty, investing such polication | entive step and industrial applicability d to novelty, inventive step or industrial statement |
| written opinion of the Internation the applicant chooses an Author International Bureau under Rule will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided about the IPEA a written replace. | al Preliminary Examining the other than this one to 66.1 bis(b) that written cove, considered to be a by together, where approach Form PCT/ISA/220 or | ng Authority ("IPEA to be the IPEA and opinions of this Int written opinion of opriate, with amen | n will usually be considered to be a the chosen IPEA has notified the emational Searching Authority the IPEA, the applicant is invited to dments, before the expiration of three ation of 22 months from the priority date, |
| 3. For further details, see notes to | Form PCT/ISA/220. | • | |
| Name and mailing address of the ISA | | Authorized Office | or · |

European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

Sprinks, M

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-7706



| _ | |
|----|--|
| _ | Box No. I Basis of the opinion |
| 1. | With regard to the language , this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item. |
| | This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)). |
| 2. | With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of: |
| | a. type of material: |
| | a sequence listing |
| | ☐ table(s) related to the sequence listing |
| • | b. format of material: |
| | □ in written format |
| | ☐ in computer readable form |
| | c. time of filing/furnishing: |
| | ☐ contained in the international application as filed. |
| | ☐ filed together with the international application in computer readable form. |
| | furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search. |
| 3. | In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished. |
| 4. | Additional comments: |
| | |
| _ | Box No. II Priority |
| 1. | The validity of the priority claim has not been considered because the International Searching Authority does not have in its possession a copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed or, where required, a translation of that earlier application. This opinion has nevertheless been established on the assumption that the relevant date (Rules 43bis.1 and 64.1) is the claimed priority date. |
| 2. | This opinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim has been found invalid (Rules 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international filing date indicated above is considered to be the relevant date. |
| 3. | Additional observations, if necessary: |
| | |

| Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability | | | |
|---|---|-----------------------------------|--|
| The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of: | | | |
| | the entire international application, | | |
| ⊠ | ☑ claims Nos. 11,12,14,15 (partially) | | |
| because: | | | |
| | the said international application, or the said claims Nos. relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify): | | |
| | the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify): | | |
| | the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed. | | |
| | no international search report has been established for the whole application or for said claims Nos. 11,12,14,15 (partially) | | |
| | the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing does not comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions in that: | | |
| | the written form | has not been furnished | |
| | . 🗖 | does not comply with the standard | |
| | the computer readable form \qed | has not been furnished | |
| | | does not comply with the standard | |
| | the tables related to the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, do not comply with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions. | | |
| | See separate sheet for further details | | |

International application No. PCT/EP2005/001168

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

1-18

No: Claims

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

1-18

Industrial applicability (IA)

Claims Yes:

1-18

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/EP2005/001168

D1: HACKERMÜLLER J. ET AL.,: "towards a functional classification of ARE protein interactions" GENOME INFORMATICS, vol. 13, 2002, pages 326-327, XP002299091

V) Novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability

Inventive step

- The present application does not satisfy the criterion set forth in Article 33 (3) PCT because the subject-matter of claims 1-18 does not involve an inventive step (Rule 65.1 and 65.2 PCT).
- 2) D1 discloses the use of thermodynamic modelling to study the mechanisms of ARE-binding protein interactions. It specifically refers to <u>ELAVL1</u> (see claims 2 and 13), the importance of understanding <u>interference of other AREs with ARE-protein interactions for the selection of drug targets</u>, and ARE binding ELAVL1 analogues.
 - Consequently, the claimed methods and compounds are considered as the straightforward reduction to practice and results of the concepts taught in D1, which result from the combination of the skilled person's desire to modulate any known regulatory ARE-ligand interaction (which may be considered as the technical problem) with the teachings of D1.
- 3) It should be noted that the methods of claims 5 and 6 do not appear to be restricted to the general concept in claim 1 of calculating and using thermodynamic probabilities, since the phrase "that changes the thermodynamic probability" appears to refer to an implicit property of an oligonucleotide whose suitability as a modulator would be obvious in any event at the hybridisation step alone.

In such a case, said methods might not be considered novel or even unitary as they could refer to screening assays using known standards (which intrinsically change the thermodynamic probability in any event). However, for the time being, the Applicant is given the benefit of doubt.